

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,939	10/30/2003	Nawaz Ahmad	PPC834CIP3	1040
27777 7:	590 03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			CAIN, EDWARD J	
JOHNSON & J ONE JOHNSO	OHNSON N & JOHNSON PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW BRUNS	ICK, NJ 08933-7003		1714	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,939	AHMAD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edward J. Cain	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	:s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONe, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ts have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	ts have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stag	je
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>	_	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		,

Art Unit: 1714

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-24 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 7,005,408.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent meet the limitations of the claims of the application.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meignant or Evenstad et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,939 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

Meignant and Evenstad et al disclose vaginal lubricating compositions comprising polyethylene glycol, preservatives and bioadhesives. Since polyethylene glycol is claimed as suitable in the instant claims, the compositions of the reference are seen as inherently possessing applicants claimed temperature increase properties.

Therefore the references anticipate the rejected claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714